arXiv:1610.02190v1 [math.PR] 7 Oct 2016

Weak decreasing stochastic order

Antoine Marie Bogso*and Patrice Takam Soh†

October 10, 2016

Abstract

We introduce the notion of weak decreasing stochastic (WDS) ordering for real-valued processes, which, to our knowledge, has not been studied before. Thanks to a Madan-Yor's argument, it follows that the WDS ordering is a necessary and sufficient condition for a process with negative mean to be embeddable in a standard Brownian motion by the Cox-Hobson extension of the Azéma-Yor algorithm. Since the decreasing stochastic order is stronger than the WDS order, then, for every stochastically non-decreasing process, the generalized Azéma-Yor stopping times defined by Cox-Hobson [4] provide an associated Markov process. The quantile process associated to a stochastically non-decreasing process is not necessarily Markovian.

Keywords: WDS order, Skorokhod embedding, generalized Azéma-Yor algorithm, Markov processes, total positivity.

subclass MSC: 60E15, 60G44, 60J25.

1 Introduction

A family of integrable probability measures $\mu = (\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ is said to be non-decreasing for the weak decreasing stochastic (WDS) order if the family of functions $(\Theta^{wds}_{\mu_t}, t \geq 0)$ defined by

$$\Theta^{wds}_{\mu_t}(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\mu_t([x,+\infty[)} \left(\displaystyle\int_{[x,+\infty[} (y-x)\mu_t(dy) - m_{\mu_t} \right) & \text{if } x < r_{\mu_t}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $m_{\mu_t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\mu_t(dy)$ and $r_{\mu_t} = \inf\{z \in \mathbb{R};\ \mu([z,+\infty[) = 0\}$, is pointwise non-decreasing. Note that this definition is the same as that of the MRL ordering up to the substraction of the mean of μ_t. The terminology *weak decreasing stochastic ordering* has been chosen since, for processes with negative mean, the usual decreasing stochastic order is strictly stronger than the WDS order. Indeed, we show that every stochastically non-increasing process with negative mean is ordered by the WDS order and we exhibit some WDS ordered processes which do not decrease stochastically. On the other hand, we prove that, for processes with negative mean, the WDS order strictly implies the decreasing convex order. In particular, WDS ordered processes with constant negative mean are necessarily stochastically constant. Such a result has been proved by Shaked-Shanthikumar [14, Theorem 1.A.8] for stochastically non-increasing processes. It follows from a Madan-Yor argument that an integrable process with negative mean can be embedded in Brownian motion by the Cox-Hobson extension of

*University of Yaounde I, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 812 Yaoundé, Cameroon. Phone: (+237)652 620 452, Email: ambogso@gmail.com

†University of Yaounde I, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 812 Yaoundé, Cameroon. Phone: (+237)699 299 181, Email: ptakam@yahoo.fr

the Azéma-Yor algorithm if and only if this process is WDS ordered. Denoting by T_{μ_t} the generalized Azéma-Yor stopping time that solves the Skorokhod embedding problem for μ_t, we say that $\mu = (\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ can be embedded in a standard Brownian motion $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ by the stopping times $(T_{\mu_t}, t \geq 0)$ if the map $t \longmapsto T_{\mu_t}$ is a.s. non-decreasing. Since each T_{μ_t} is minimal (in a sense that is precised in the sequel), the process $\left(B_{T_{\mu_t}}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a Markovian supermartingale with the same one-dimensional marginals as $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$. This implies that the WDS order is stronger than the decreasing convex order. We recover this property using a different approach. We say that two processes are associated if they have the same one-dimensional marginals. Let us mention that the problem of existence of a Markovian supermartingale associated to a given process which is ordered by the decreasing convex order was solved by Kellerer [9].

In this paper, we provide a log-concavity characterization of the WDS ordering. This characterization is the same as that obtained in Bogso [2, Theorem 3.3] for the MRL ordering.

We organize the rest of the paper as follows. In the next Section, we briefly recall the Cox-Hobson [4] extension of the Azéma-Yor algorithm to target distributions with negative mean and, using a Madan-Yor argument, deduce that WSD ordering is a necessary and sufficient condition for an integrable process with negative mean to embeddable in Brownian motion by the generalized Azéma-Yor stopping times. Section 3 is devoted to a characterization of the WDS ordering in terms of log-concavity and to some of its closure properties. Finally, in Section 4, we present several examples of WDS ordered processes.

2 WDS order and the generalized Azéma-Yor algorithm

Let $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ be a family of integrable probability measures with negative mean. For every $t \geq 0$, we set $m_{\mu_t} := \int y\mu_t(dy)$. We shall applied the Cox-Hobson [4] extension of the Azéma-Yor algorithm to embed simultaneously all distributions μ_t in a standard Brownian motion $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ started at 0. In the zero-mean case, if $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ is MRL ordered, then the original Azéma-Yor algorithm [1] provides a family of a.s. non-decreasing stopping times $(T_{\mu_t}, t \geq 0)$ adapted to the natural filtration of $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ such that, for every $t \geq 0$:

C1) $\left(B_{T_{\mu_t} \wedge v}, v \geq 0\right)$ is uniformly integrable,

C2) the law of $B_{T_{\mu_t}}$ is μ_t.

Since Condition C1) is equivalent to $\mathbb{E}\left[B_{T_{\mu_t}} | \mathcal{F}_S\right] = B_S$ for all stopping times $S \leq T_{\mu_t}$, then $\left(B_{T_{\mu_t}}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a (Markovian) martingale with marginals $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$. Moreover, Monroe [11] showed that Condition C1) is equivalent to the statement that T_{μ_t} is *minimal* in the following sense.

Definition 2.1. *A stopping time T is said to be minimal for $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ if whenever $S \leq T$ is a stopping time such that B_T and B_S have the same distribution then $S = T$ a.s..*

The result of Monroe has been extended by Cox-Hobson [4] to non-centered target distribution. In particular, provided $m_{\mu_t} < 0$, the authors proved that a stopping time T is minimal for $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ if, and only if $\left(B^-_{T \wedge v}, v \geq 0\right)$ is uniformly integrable, or $\mathbb{E}[B_T | \mathcal{F}_S] \leq B_S$ for all stopping times $S \leq T$. Furthermore, Cox-Hobson [4] constructed an extension of the Azéma-Yor embedding for distributions with negative and showed both that it is minimal, and that it retains the optimality properties of the original Azéma-Yor embedding. Here is a brief exposition of their result for a fixed $t \geq 0$. Consider the convex function

$$\forall\, x \in \mathbb{R},\ \pi_{\mu_t}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y - x| d\mu_t(y) - m_{\mu_t}.$$

and, for every $\theta \in [-1, 1]$, define

$$u_{\mu_t}(\theta) = \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} :\ \pi_{\mu_t}(y) + \theta(x - y) \leq \pi_{\mu_t}(x), \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$



Figure 1: π_{μ_t} for a μ_t with support bounded below and negative mean.

Note that π_{μ_t} is asymptotic to and greater than the function

$$x \longmapsto -x1_{]-\infty,m_{\mu_t}]}(x) + (x + 2m_{\mu_t})1_{]m_{\mu_t},+\infty[}(x).$$

On the other hand, if θ is interpreted as the gradient of a tangent to π_{μ_t}, then $u_{\mu_t}(\theta)$ is the smallest x at which there exists a tangent to π_{μ_t} with gradient θ. Define also

$$\forall\, \theta \in [-1,1],\ z_{\mu_t}(\theta) = \frac{\pi_{\mu_t}(u_{\mu_t}(\theta)) - \theta u_{\mu_t}(\theta)}{1-\theta}$$

and

$$\forall\, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+,\ b_{\mu_t}(\alpha) = u_{\mu_t}\left(z_{\mu_t}^{-1}(\alpha)\right),$$

where

$$z_{\mu_t}^{-1}(\alpha) = \inf\{\theta \in [-1,1] :\ z_{\mu_t}(\theta) \geq \alpha\}.$$

These definitions are interpreted as follows. Consider the unique tangent to π_{μ_t} with gradient θ. Then, $z_{\mu_t}(\theta)$ is the x-coordinate of the point where this tangent crosses the line $y = x$ and $b_{\mu_t}(\alpha)$ is the x-coordinate of the left-most point of the graph of π_{μ_t} for which the tangent to π_{μ_t} at that point hits the line $y = x$ at α. We refer to Figures 1 for a pictorial representation of b_{μ_t} in the case $m_{\mu_t} < 0$.

Now, let $T_{\mu_t}^{max}$ be the stopping time given by

$$T_{\mu_t}^{max} = \inf\{v \geq 0 :\ b_{\mu_t}(S_v) \geq B_v\},$$

where $S_v = \sup_{w \leq v} B_w$. The following result has been proved by Cox-Hobson [4].

Theorem 2.2. *(Cox-Hobson [4, Theorem 12]). For every $t \geq 0$, $T_{\mu_t}^{max}$ embeds μ_t and is minimal.*

Remark 2.3. Let $b_{\mu_t}^{-1}$ be the function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$b_{\mu_t}^{-1}(x) = \inf\{z \geq 0 :\ b_{\mu_t}(z) \geq x\}.$$

For every $t \geq 0$, $\Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds} : \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty]$ by

$$\Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\mu_t([x,+\infty[)}\left(\displaystyle\int_{[x,+\infty[} y\mu_t(dy) - m_{\mu_t}\right) & \text{if } x < r_{\mu_t}, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

1) If $m_{\mu_t} < 0$, then, as in Cox [3, Chapter 3, Section 3.2], one may show that $b_{\mu_t}^{-1} = \Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}$.

2) Observe that, for every $t \geq 0$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}(x) = x + \Theta_{\mu_t}^{wds}(x)$. Hence, a family $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ of probability measures with negative mean is WDS ordered if, and only if the family of functions $(\Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}, t \geq 0)$ is pointwise non-decreasing.

3) We have $\lim_{x \to -\infty} \Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}(x) = 0$. Moreover, $\Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}$ is left-continuous, non-decreasing and non-negative on $]-\infty, r_{\mu_t}[$.

One may deduce from Point 1) of the preceding remark that the stopping time $T_{\mu_t}^{max}$ coincide with an Azéma-Yor type stopping time.

Lemma 2.4. *We have:*

$$T_{\mu_t}^{max} = T_{\mu_t}^{wds} := \inf\{v \geq 0 : \, S_v \geq \Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}(B_v)\}.$$

Now, suppose that, for every $t \geq 0$, $m_{\mu_t} < 0$ and that the family of stopping times $(T_{\mu_t}^{wds}, t \geq 0)$ is a.s. non-decreasing. Then, we deduce from Theorem 2.2 and from the Point (ii) of Theorem 2 in [10] that $\left(B_{T_{\mu_t}^{wds}}, t \geq 0\right)$ is a Markovian supermartingale with marginals $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$. But, the family $(T_{\mu_t}^{wds}, t \geq 0)$ is a.s. non-decreasing if, and only if $(\Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}, t \geq 0)$ is pointwise non-decreasing, which is equivalent to the statement that the family $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ is WDS ordered.

The interest of the preceeding results rely on the existence of numerous WDS processes. We present many of them in Section 4. Before doing that, we provide an equivalent log-concavity property to WDS ordering and give some closure results related to this ordering.

3 A log-concavity characterization of WDS ordering

We present some connections between WDS ordering and the notion of total positivity of order 2. We then deduce several preservation properties which are helpful to construct other families of WDS processes.

3.1 log-concavity properties of WDS ordering

For a family $\mu = (\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ of integrable probability measures, we consider its integrable survival function C_μ given by:

$$\forall\, (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R},\, C_\mu(t,x) = \int_{[x,+\infty[} (y-x)\mu_t(dy)$$

and the function K_μ defined by

$$\forall\, (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R},\, K_\mu(t,x) = C_\mu(t,x) - m_{\mu_t}, \tag{3.1}$$

where m_{μ_t} denotes the mean of μ_t. As stated in the next result, borrowed from Hirsch-Roynette [6, Section 2] (see also Müller-Stoyan [12, Theorem 1.5.10]), the function C_μ determines entirely the family $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let ν be an integrable probability measure and let C_ν denote the integrated survival function of ν, i.e. $C_\nu(x) = \int_{[x,+\infty[}(y-x)\nu(dy)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then C_ν enjoys the following properties:*

i) C_ν is a convex, nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}$,

ii) $\lim_{x \to +\infty} C_\nu(x) = 0$,

iii) there exists $l \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $\lim_{x\to-\infty}(C_\nu(x)+x) = l$.

Conversely, if a function C *satisfies the above three properties, then there exists a unique integrable probability measure* ν *such that* $C_\nu = C$, *i.e.* C *is the integrated survival function of* ν. *Precisely,* ν *is the second order derivative of* C *in the sense of distributions, and* $l = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\nu(dy)$.

Here is a characterization of WDS ordering in terms of log-concavity for probability measures with negative mean. This characterization is the same as that of the MRL ordering obtained in Bogso [2, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that, for every* $t \geq 0$, $m_{\mu_t} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\mu_t(dy) < 0$. *Then, the family* $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ *increases in the WDS ordering if and only if the function* K_μ *defined by (3.1) is* TP_2, *i.e. for every* $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ *and* $x_1 \leq x_2$,

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} K_\mu(t_1,x_1) & K_\mu(t_1,x_2) \\ K_\mu(t_2,x_1) & K_\mu(t_2,x_2)\end{pmatrix} \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We first assume that the family $(\Psi^{wds}_{\mu_v}, v \geq 0)$ is pointwise non-decreasing. Let $0 \leq s < t$ be fixed. We wish to prove that

$$\forall\, x \leq y,\ K_\mu(s,x)K_\mu(t,y) \geq K_\mu(s,y)K_\mu(t,x). \tag{3.3}$$

We start by observing that $r_{\mu_t} \leq r_{\mu_s}$. Indeed, by hypothesis and by definition of $\Psi^{wds}_{\mu_s}$, we have $\Psi^{wds}_{\mu_t}(r_{\mu_s}) \geq \Psi^{wds}_{\mu_s}(r_{\mu_s}) = +\infty$. Moreover, $x \geq r_{\mu_t}$ is equivalent to $C_\mu(t,x) = 0$, which in turn is equivalent to $K_\mu(t,x) = -m_{\mu_t}$. Now, since $z \longmapsto K_\mu(s,z)$ and $z \longmapsto K_\mu(t,z)$ are continuous and positive, then, to obtain (3.3), it suffices to show that

$$\forall\, x \leq y < r_{\mu_t},\ K_\mu(s,x)K_\mu(t,y) \geq K_\mu(s,y)K_\mu(t,x) \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\forall\, y \geq x \geq r_{\mu_t},\ K_\mu(s,x)K_\mu(t,y) \geq K_\mu(s,y)K_\mu(t,x). \tag{3.5}$$

The inequality (3.5) follows directly from the fact that $z \longmapsto K_\mu(s,z)$ is non-increasing and we deduce (3.4) from the statement that

$$G_{s,t} : z \longmapsto \frac{K_\mu(t,z)}{K_\mu(s,z)} \text{ is non-decreasing on }]-\infty, r_{\mu_t}[.$$

Indeed, $G_{s,t}$ is continuous, left-differentiable and, if $G'_{s,t}$ denotes its left-derivative, we have:

$$\forall\, z < r_{\mu_t},\ K^2_\mu(s,z)G'_{s,t}(z) = \mu_s([z,+\infty[)\mu_t([z,+\infty[)\left(\Psi^{wds}_{\mu_t}(z) - \Psi^{wds}_{\mu_s}(z)\right) \geq 0,$$

where $\mu_s([z,+\infty[) > 0$ and $\mu_t([z,+\infty[) > 0$ since $z < r_{\mu_t} \leq r_{\mu_s}$.

Conversely, suppose that K_μ is TP_2 on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $0 \leq s < t$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. We shall prove that

$$\Psi^{wds}_{\mu_s}(x) \leq \Psi^{wds}_{\mu_t}(x). \tag{3.6}$$

If $x \geq r_{\mu_t}$, then (3.6) is immediate. Now, suppose that $x < r_{\mu_t}$. Since K_μ is TP_2, then (3.3) holds in particular for $y \geq r_{\mu_t}$ which implies that

$$K_\mu(s,x) \geq -\frac{K_\mu(t,x)}{m_{\mu_t}}K_\mu(s,y) > K_\mu(s,y) \geq -m_{\mu_s}$$

which in turn implies that $x < r_{\mu_s}$. The TP_2 property of K_μ implies also that the function $G_{s,t}$ is non-decreasing on $]-\infty, x]$. In particular, the left-derivative $G'_{s,t}$ of $G_{s,t}$ at x is nonnegative. Therefore, we have:

$$0 \leq K^2_\mu(s,x)G'_{s,t}(x) = \mu_s([x,+\infty[)\mu_t([x,+\infty[)\left(\Psi^{wds}_{\mu_t}(x) - \Psi^{wds}_{\mu_s}(x)\right)$$

which ends the proof. □

It is proved in Shaked-Shanthikumar [14, Theorem 4.A.26] that the MRL ordering implies the increasing convex ordering. In the next result, we show that the WDS ordering implies the decreasing convex ordering.

Theorem 3.3. *Every WDS ordered process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ with negative mean is ordered by the decreasing convex order.*

Proof. Let $\mu := (\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ denote the family of one-dimensional marginals of $(X_t, t \geq 0)$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq s < t$ be fixed. Since, for every $v \geq 0$, $K_\mu(v, x) + x = \mathbb{E}\left[(x - X_v)^+\right]$, then it suffices to prove that

$$K_\mu(s, x) \leq K_\mu(t, x).$$

We deduce from Point iii) of Proposition 3.1 that

$$\lim_{z \to -\infty} \frac{K_\mu(s, z)}{z} = -1 = \lim_{z \to -\infty} \frac{K_\mu(t, z)}{z}. \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, the TP_2 property of K_μ yields that

$$\forall\, z \leq x,\ \frac{K_\mu(t, z)}{K_\mu(s, z)} \leq \frac{K_\mu(t, x)}{K_\mu(s, x)}. \tag{3.8}$$

As a consequence of (3.7) and (3.8), we have:

$$1 = \lim_{z \to -\infty} \frac{K_\mu(t, z)}{K_\mu(s, z)} \leq \frac{K_\mu(t, x)}{K_\mu(s, x)}$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.4. It follows from Theorem 3.3 and from the Kellerer's theorem that every WDS ordered process with negative mean has the same one-dimensional marginals as a Markovian supermartingale.

Now, we wish to prove that the implication of Theorem 3.3 is strict. For this end, we should provide a process which increases in the decreasing convex order and which is not WDS ordered. The following result states that non trivial peacock processes do not increase in the WDS order. Precisely, WDS ordered processes with constant mean are stochastically constant.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ be an integrable WDS process such that, for every $t \geq 0$, X_t has a negative mean. If $\mathbb{E}[X_t]$ does not depend on t, then, for every $0 \leq s \leq t$, X_s and X_t have the same distribution.*

Proof. Let μ_t denote the law of X_t. Fix $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then combining Point ii) of Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we obtain

$$1 = \lim_{y \to -\infty} \frac{K_\mu(t, y)}{K_\mu(s, y)} \leq \frac{K_\mu(t, x)}{K_\mu(s, x)} \leq \lim_{z \to +\infty} \frac{K_\mu(t, z)}{K_\mu(s, z)} = 1$$

which yields the desired result. □

Remark 3.6. The preceding property of WDS ordering has already been proved for the usual stochastic ordering (see e.g. Shaked-Shanthikumar [14, Theorem 1.A.8]).

Here are some closure properties of the WSD ordering which are useful to exhibit several other WDS ordered processes.

3.2 Preservation properties of the WDS ordering

The preservation properties listed below are satisfied by the MRL ordering. They follow directly from well-known facts related to total positivity of order 2.

3.2.1 Subordination

Let $(Y_\lambda, \lambda \geq 0)$ be an integrable WDS process with negative mean. Let $(\Lambda_t, t \geq 0)$ be a nonnegative homogeneous and right-continuous Markov process independent of $(Y_\lambda, \lambda \geq 0)$. We suppose that Λ_t has density p_t and that the function $(t, \lambda) \longmapsto p_t(\lambda)$ is TP_2 on $\mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ stands for the set of positive real numbers. Some examples of such processes are given in Karlin [8, Theorems 4.3(i) and 5.2]. We suppose that, for every $t \geq 0$, $\mathbb{E}\left[|Y_{\Lambda_t}|\right] < \infty$. Then $(X_t := Y_{\Lambda_t}, t \geq 0)$ is WDS ordered.

3.2.2 Random translation

Let $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ be an integrable WDS process with negative mean and let Y be an integrable centered random variable independent of $(X_t, t \geq 0)$. Then $(Z_t := X_t + Y, t \geq 0)$ is still a WDS ordered process. Indeed, if we define the functions K_X, resp. K_Z by $K_X(t,x) = C_X(t,x) - m_t$, resp. $K_Z(t,x) = C_Z(t,x) - m_t$, where $C_X(t,\cdot)$, resp. $C_Z(t,\cdot)$ denotes the integrated survival function of X_t, resp. Z_t and where m_t is the mean of X_t and if we denote by f_Y the density of Y, then

$$K_Z(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[(X_t + Y - x)^+\right] - m_t$$

and

$$K_Z(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_X(t, x-y) f_Y(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_X(t,z) f_Y(x-z) dz$$

from which we deduce the result.

3.2.3 Scale mixtures

Let $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ be an integrable WDS ordered process with negative mean and let Y be an integrable $\mathbb{R}_+$-valued random variable which admits a positive $\mathcal{C}^1$-class density f. We suppose that $\log Y$ is log-concave. Then $(Z_t := Y X_t, t \geq 0)$ is a WDS ordered process. The proof follows the same lines as in Bogso [2, Subsection 4.3].

3.2.4 Convex combinations

Let $(\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be an integrable WDS ordered family of probability measures with negative mean. Let $c = (c_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be an increasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers. We set $l = \lim_{n\to\infty} c_n$. We define the family $(\mu_t^{(c)}, t \in [0, l))$ by:

$$\forall\, t \in [c_n, c_{n+1}],\ \mu_t^{(c)} = \frac{c_{n+1} - t}{c_{n+1} - c_n}\mu_n + \frac{t - c_n}{c_{n+1} - c_n}\mu_{n+1}.$$

Then $(\mu_t^{(c)}, t \geq 0)$ is still a WDS ordered process.

3.2.5 Censoring type transformations

Let $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ be a WDS family of integrable probability measures. For every $t \geq 0$, we denote the mean of μ_t by m_t. Let $a < b$ be fixed real numbers. We consider the family $(\mu_t^{a,b}, t \geq 0)$ given by:

$$\mu_t^{a,b}(dy) = (1_{]-\infty,a[} + 1_{]b,+\infty[})(y)\mu_t(dy) + \alpha_t^{a,b}\delta_a(dy) + \beta_t^{a,b}\delta_b(dy), \tag{3.9}$$

where δ_a, resp. δ_b denotes the Dirac measure at point a, resp. point b, and where

$$\alpha_t^{a,b} = \frac{1}{b-a}\int_{[a,b]} (b-y)\mu_t(dy) \text{ and } \beta_t^{a,b} = \frac{1}{b-a}\int_{[a,b]} (y-a)\mu_t(dy).$$

Then $(\mu_t^{a,b}, t \geq 0)$ is still WDS ordered.

We turn now to the exposition of some examples of WDS ordered processes.

4 Some examples of WDS processes

We first prove that stochastically non-increasing processes with negative mean are WDS ordered, and then we give some examples of WDS ordered processes which do not decrease stochastically.

4.1 Stochastically non-increasing processes with negative mean

The following result states that every stochastically non-increasing process with negative mean increases in the WDS order.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ be a family of integrable probability measures which have a negative mean and which decreases stochastically. Then $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ increases in the WDS order.*

Proof. Let $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ be a family of integrable probability measures having a negative mean. Suppose without loss of generality that, for every $t \geq 0$, the support of μ_t is $\mathbb{R}$. Then, we have

$$\psi^{wds}_{\mu_t}(x) = x + \Theta^{wds}_{\mu_t}(x) = x + \frac{\int (y-x) 1_{[x,+\infty[}(y)\mu_t(dy) - \int y\mu_t(dy)}{\int 1_{[x,+\infty[}(y)\mu_t(dy)}$$
$$= x + \frac{\int (x-y) 1_{]-\infty,x]}(y)\mu_t(dy) - x}{\int 1_{[x,+\infty[}(y)\mu_t(dy)}.$$

Since $(\mu_t, t \geq 0)$ decreases stochastically,

$$t \longmapsto \int (x-y) 1_{]-\infty,x]}(y)\mu_t(dy) - x \text{ is positive and non-decreasing}$$

and

$$t \longmapsto \int 1_{[x,+\infty[}(y)\mu_t(dy) \text{ is positive and non-increasing.}$$

We then deduce that the family $(\psi^{wds}_{\mu_t}, t \geq 0)$ is pointwise non-decreasing. □

Remark 4.2. We deduce from the preceding result that the Cox-Hobson extension of the Azéma-Yor algorithm provides a way to associate a Markovian process to a given stochastically non-decreasing process. Indeed, if $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ is a stochastically non-decreasing process, then, up to an additive constant, $(-X_t, t \geq 0)$ is a stochastically non-increasing process with negative mean. Hence, $(-X_t, t \geq 0)$ can be embedded in Brownian motion by the generalized Azéma-Yor stopping times. Note that the quantile process associated to a stochastically non-decreasing process is not necessarily Markovian. Recently, Juillet [7, Proposition 4.4] characterized Markovian quantile processes.

4.2 Some examples of WDS processes which do not decrease stochastically

Since Theorem 4.1 states that, for processes with negative mean, the decreasing stochastic order implies the WDS order, one may ask whether the reverse implication holds. We give a negative answer to this statement by providing two families of WDS processes which do not decrease stochastically. We start by the following discrete example.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $k \geq 1$ and let $(\mu_t, t \in]0,1[)$ be the family of probability measures given by:*

$$\mu_t(dy) = (1 - t^k + t^{k+1})\delta_{-t^k}(dy) + (t^k - t^{k+1})\delta_{1-t^k}(dy),$$

where, for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, δ_a denotes the Dirac measure at point a. Then $(\mu_t, t \in]0,1[)$ is a WDS ordered process which does not decrease stochastically.

Proof. Let $k \geq 1$ and $t \in]0,1[$. Then, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mu_t([x, +\infty[) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq -t^k, \\ t^k - t^{k+1} & \text{if } -t^k < x \leq 1 - t^k, \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 1 - t^k. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mu_t([0, +\infty[) = t^k - t^{k+1}$ which proves that $(\mu_t, t \in]0,1[)$ does not decrease stochastically. Moreover,

$$\int_{[x,+\infty[} y\mu_t(dy) = \begin{cases} -t^{k+1} & \text{if } x \leq -t^k, \\ (t^k - t^{k+1})(1 - t^k) & \text{if } -t^k < x \leq 1 - t^k, \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 1 - t^k. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq -t^k, \\ 1 - t^k + \dfrac{t}{1-t} & \text{if } -t^k < x < 1 - t^k, \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \geq 1 - t^k \end{cases}$$

which shows that the family $\left(\Psi_{\mu_t}^{wds}, t \in]0,1[\right)$ is pointwise non-decreasing. □

The next result gives another interesting family of non stochastically decreasing WDS ordered processes

Proposition 4.4. *For every $k > -1$, the family $(\mu_t, t \in]1/2, 2[)$ of probability measures given by*

$$\mu_t(dy) = \frac{2-t}{2\alpha(t)} 1_{[-\alpha(t),0[}(y)dy + \frac{(k+1)t^{k+2}}{2} y^k 1_{[0,1/t[}(y)dy,$$

where

$$\forall\, t \in]1/2, 2[\,,\ \alpha(t) = \frac{k+1}{k+2} \frac{(2t)^{k+2}(2t+1)}{2-t}$$

is a WDS ordered family which does not decrease stochastically.

Proof. Observe that α is positive and non-decreasing on $]1/2, 2[$ and that

$$\forall\, t \in]1/2, 2[\,,\ m_t := \int_{\mathbb{R}} y\mu_t(dy) = \frac{k+1}{2(k+2)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(2t)^{k+2}(2t+1)\right) < 0.$$

Fix $1/2 < s \leq t < 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \geq 1/t$, then $\Psi_t^{wds}(x) = +\infty \geq \Psi_s^{wds}(x)$, and if $x \leq -\alpha(s)$, then $\Psi_s^{wds}(x) = 0 \leq \Psi_t^{wds}(x)$. Suppose that $x \in [0, 1/t[$. Then for every $v \in [s, t]$,

$$\int_x^{1/v} \mu_v(dy) = \frac{(k+1)v^{k+2}}{2} \int_x^{1/v} y^k dy = \frac{v}{2}\left(1 - (vx)^{k+1}\right) \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\int_x^{1/v} y\mu_v(dy) = \frac{(k+1)v^{k+2}}{2} \int_x^{1/v} y^{k+1} dy = \frac{k+1}{2(k+2)}\left(1 - (vx)^{k+2}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Note that the equality (4.1) shows that $(\mu_v, v \in]1/2, 2[)$ does not decrease in the stochastic order. We deduce from (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_v^{wds}(x) &= \frac{k+1}{k+2} \times \frac{1-(vx)^{k+2}-1+(2v)^{k+1}v(2v+1)}{v(1-(vx)^{k+1})} \\ &= \frac{k+1}{k+2} \times \frac{v^{k+1}}{1-(vx)^{k+1}}(2^{k+1}(2v+1)-x^{k+2}).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$v \longmapsto \frac{v^{k+1}}{1-(vx)^{k+1}}$$

is a nonnegative non-decreasing function on $]1/2, 2[$ and, since $x \leq 1/v$ and $v \geq 1/2$,

$$v \longmapsto 2^{k+1}(2v+1) - x^{k+2}$$

is also a nonnegative non-decreasing function on $]1/2, 2[$. Therefore, $v \longmapsto \Psi_v^{wds}(x)$ is non-decreasing on $]1/2, 2[$ and, as a consequence, $\Psi_s^{wds}(x) \leq \Psi_t^{wds}(x)$.
Suppose now that $x \in]-\alpha(s), 0[$. For every $v \in [s, t]$, $-\alpha(v) \leq -\alpha(s)$ since α is non-decreasing, and we have:

$$\int_x^{1/v} \mu_v(dy) = \int_x^0 \mu_v(dy) + \int_0^{1/v} \mu_v(dy) = -\frac{(k+2)(2-v)^2}{2(k+1)(2v)^{k+2}(2v+1)}x + \frac{v}{2}.$$

and

$$\int_x^{1/v} y\mu_v(dy) = \int_x^0 y\mu_v(dy) + \int_0^{1/v} y\mu_v(dy) = -\frac{(k+2)(2-v)^2}{4(k+1)(2v)^{k+2}(2v+1)}x^2 + \frac{k+1}{2(k+2)}.$$

We then obtain

$$\Psi_v^{wds}(x) = \frac{-\frac{(2-v)^2}{(2v)^{k+3}(2v+1)}x^2 + \frac{(k+1)^2}{(k+2)^2}(2v)^{k+1}(2v+1)}{-\frac{2(2-v)^2}{(2v)^{k+3}(2v+1)}x + 1}.$$

Remark that, for every $x \in]-\alpha(s), 0[$,

$$v \longmapsto -\frac{2(2-v)^2}{(2v)^{k+3}(2v+1)}x + 1$$

is non-increasing and nonnegative on $]1/2, 2[$ and that, since $-x^2 > -\alpha^2(s) \geq -\alpha^2(v)$,

$$v \longmapsto -\frac{(2-v)^2}{(2v)^{k+3}(2v+1)}x^2 + \frac{(k+1)^2}{(k+2)^2}(2v)^{k+1}(2v+1)$$

is non-decreasing and nonnegative on $]1/2, 2[$. Consequently, $v \longmapsto \Psi_v^{wds}(x)$ is non-decreasing on $]1/2, 2[$ and, hence, $\Psi_s^{wds}(x) \leq \Psi_t^{wds}(x)$. □

References

[1] J. Azéma and M. Yor. Une solution simple au problème de Skorokhod. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XIII (Univ. Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1977/78)*, volume 721 of *Lecture Notes in Math.,* pages 90-115. Springer, Berlin (1979).

[2] A. M. Bogso. MRL order, log-concavity and an application to peacocks. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications,* Vol. 125, Issue 4, pp. 1282-1306 (2015).

[3] A. M. G. Cox. *Skorokhod embeddings: non-centered target distributions, diffusions and minimality.* PhD thesis, University of Bath (2004).

[4] A. M. G. Cox and D. G. Hobson. Skorokhod embeddings, minimality and non-centred target distributions. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields,* 135:395-414 (2006).

[5] C.-O. Ewald and M. Yor. On Increasing risk, inequality and poverty measures: Peacocks, lyrebirds and exotic options. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 59: 22-36 (2015).

[6] F. Hirsch and B. Roynette. A new proof of Kellerer Theorem *ESAIM: PS,* 16:48-60 (2012).

[7] N. Juillet. Peacocks parametrized by a partially ordered set. *To appear in Séminaire de Probabilités*, 2016.

[8] S. Karlin. Total positivity, absorption probabilities and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol. 111, pp. 33-107 (1964).

[9] H. G. Kellerer. Markov-Komposition und eine Anwendung auf Martingale. *Math. Ann.*, 198:99–122 (1972).

[10] D. Madan and M. Yor. Making Markov martingales meet marginals: with explicit contructions. *Bernoulli,* 8(4):509-539 (2002).

[11] I. Monroe. On embedding right continuous martingales in Brownian motion. *Ann. Math. Statist.,* 43:1293-1311 (1972).

[12] A. Müller and D. Stoyan. *Comparison methods for stochastic models and risks.* Wiley, London (2002).

[13] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences].* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition (1999).

[14] M. Shaked and J.G. Shanthikumar. *Stochastic orders.* Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, New York (2007).